No. 9(1)82-6Lab/3283.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Prosiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Perfect Polymers Private Limited Plot No. 104/Sector-24, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 421/1982

between.

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S PERFECT POLYMERS PRIVATE LIMITED, PLOT NO. 104, SECTOR-24, FARIDABAD

AWARD

The Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Perfect Polymers Private Limited Plot No. 104, Sector-24, Faridabad by order No. ID/FDL/235/82/50087, dated 5th November, 1982, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947;—

Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus @ 20% for the years 1979-80 and 1980-81? If so, with what details?

Notices of the reference were sent to the parties, who appeared and filed their pleadings. On the last date of horring, the representative of the management made a statement that according to the sattlement, all workers had gone after taking the bonus at the rate of 10%. The representative for the workman stated that he had no instruction in this case.

In view of the above, I pass my award that the matter had been mutually settled by the parties and there remained nothing for adjudication.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Dated, the 25th March, 1983.

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endst. No. 357, dated the 30th March, 1983.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigath as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWA'J,

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 9(1)82-6Lab/3285.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Kalkaji Engineering Company, 248, Sector 24, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 214/1981

between

SHRI CHANDER BALI, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S KALKAJI ENGINEERING COMPANY, 248, SECTOR-24, FARIDABAD.

The Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the workman Shri Chander Buli and the management of M/s Kalkaji Engineering Company 248, Sector 245 Faridabad by Order No. ID/PD/86/81/32993, dated 3rd July, 1981, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Chander Bali was justified and in order? If so, to

what relief is he entitled? 23 77

Notices of the reference were sent to the parties who appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed by my order, dated 11th January, 1982:—

- (1) Whether there is relationship of master and servant between the parties '?'
- (2) If issue No. 1 is proved, whether the termination of services of Shri Chander Bali was justified and in order ? If so, to what relief is he entitled?

Issue No. 1 was treated as preliminary. It was decided in favour of the workman, and the case was fixed for the evidence of the management. On the last date of hearing, the representative of management. ment made a statement that the workman had settled his dispute with the management, -vide settlement Ex. M-1. The workman was taken back on duty and had received a sum of Rs. 1,300 as compensation.

In view of the above, I pass my award that the matter had been mutually settled by the parties and there remained nothing for adjudication.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Dated the 29th March, 1983.

Presiding Officer. Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 359, dated the 30th March, 1983.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ.

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana. Faridabad.

ASHOK PAHWA,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

ORDER

The 30th June, 1983

No. ID/HSR/60-83/30896.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Phal Singh and the management of M/s. Haryana Roadways Bhiwani, regarling the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana here by refers to the Labour Court Rohtak, constituted unjer section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government Notification No. 3864-ASO-(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated the 8th May, 1970 read with Government Notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated the 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below, being either matter in cispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Paul Singh was justified and in order ? If not. towhat relief is he entitled?